PREPARATIONS FOR HABITAT III

SUMMARY OF INPUTS MADE AT THE NATIONAL FORUM ON HUMAN SETTLEMENTS AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT CONSULTATION WORKSHOP FOR A SOUTH AFRICAN POSITION ON THE NEW URBAN AGENDA 20-21 JULY 2016





LEADING CHANGE IN THE CITY: FROM SLUMS TO INTEGRATED, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE HUMAN SETTLEMENTS

OBJECTIVE

- 1. Habitat III (moves beyond the urban tipping point) will **produce the major United Nations policy document on housing, urban development and human settlements – an agenda for 20 years;** SA bound to **African Common Position** (accelerate structural inclusive & integrated economic/human settlement transformation/Institute a Global partnership) & is expected to implement it.
- Preparatory process for H3 enables sector stakeholders to actively engage with new thinking about NDP/SDG's, human settlements and urbanization (NDP/MSA/IDP/SPLUMA/IUDF/Constitution): This began in 2014 with National Report for Habitat III based on sector consultations and 20 year Review which covered :
 - Urban Demographics (aged/youth & urban/rural);
 - Land and Urban Planning (urban mobility challenges & Improving technical capacity to plan and manage cities; food security)
 - Environment and Urbanisation (build community ability);
 - Urban Governance and Legislation (Security of tenure & menitoring as development rever);
 - Urban (conomy (spatial economic inclusivity)
 - Housing and Basic Services (slum upgrading and prevention/Improving access to housing)
- 3. To guide/be guided by SA participation in Preparation of Issue Papers; experts preparing policy papers; Habitat III Regional and Thematic Meetings formal inputs to the preparatory process (including Pretoria Declaration on Informal Settlements); PrepCom and involvement in Habitat III

SOUTH AFRICA PRETORIA 7 - 8 APRIL 2016



STRUCTURE OF THE DOCUMENT

QUITO DECLARATION

• QUITO IMPLEMENTATION PLAN OF ACTION





LEADING CHANGE IN THE CITY: FROM SLUMS TO INTEGRATED, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE HUMAN SETTLEMENTS

QUITO DECLARATION (all basis covered-did the technocrats win?)

The Quito Declaration has three elements - based on a **Who (national** and local/civil society); **what & how**:

- Shared vision (people centered; rights based; leverage of urbanization for structural transformation)
- Principles and commitments (redress poverty and inequality; sustainable/inclusive growth; transformative paradigm; international/national/local partnerships re governance, planning and finance)
- Call for Action (4 universal change drivers: National/ Urban - policies; legislation; planning; financing





LEADING CHANGE IN THE CITY: FROM SLUMS TO INTEGRATED, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE HUMAN SETTLEMENTS

The Quito implementation Plan for the New Urban Agenda

A Transformative Commitments:

- Social Inclusion and Poverty Eradication: (synergies of international migration and development; coordination role of LG/IGR; housing/SoT/IGR; supportive mechanisms)
- **inclusive urban prosperity:** (*enablers urban form and infrastructure*; land development frameworks; spatial logics/instruments (brown agenda)
- **Resilient Urban Development:** (Green agenda)

B Effective implementation:

- **Building the urban governance structure**: Establishing a supportive framework (urban and **housing** policy/regulatory frameworks in NDP's; IGR frameworks & **local** capacity to implement/manage)
- Planning and Managing the urban spatial development: Instruments to support planning; housing/slum upgrading; transport; infrastructure; food security; culture/diversity
- Means of implementation: a multilayer partnership (international; national; local; civil society; NGO & private sector; mobilisation of experts to generate evidence based and practical guidance & consolidate links with existing knowledge and urban solution platforms; with a commitment to a UN Multi-Trust Fund for capacity development (for African Countries); with a series of mechanisms to provide support for the funding and financing aspects and the support for research , data and statistical capabilities and use and application of new technologies

C Follow-up and Review:

- Follow up and review voluntary and country led
- link to SDG's & 2030 Agenda
- UN/UN Habitat (coordinating agency)





LEADING CHANGE IN THE CITY: FROM SLUMS TO INTEGRATED, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE HUMAN SETTLEMENTS

Beyond Draft Zero: Getting the details right

- IGR reconfigured/local government (decentralization) pivot & local-national partnership
- Role of capacity-building, development cooperation, partnerships: relook R&D & Capacity Development in schools and higher institutions
- Vision of sustainable urban development for next 20 & prioritize developing countries
- Strengthen gender equality & rural-urban linkages
- Protect references to Cities and Human settlements/Housing and basic services (adequate shelter for all/slum upgrading); Urbanization as a force for structural transformation of national economies
- food security through urban agriculture



- NUA covers base but not strategic nor reflective of input documents (watered down negotiated document): insert the mechanics for engagement over an elongated period (before, at the event &d after -20 year negotiation)
- NUA is weak but important global norm & offers ability to measure SA performance: provides opportunity to utilise international document to trigger/negotiated the changes desired in a new urban agenda
- Need to define urban paradigm shift & what an urban agenda will be : what are these characteristics democratic; inclusiveness in public policy; territorialism & migration; living in a digital age Capacity of state re restructuring of state towards urban agenda
- Point at which institutional transition occurs regarding New Urban Agenda what business models that adjust to these opportunities (new technology etc)
- Extending richness of process and products re NUA for LG, NGO's and citizens; set out the inter-spherical
 conditions and systems to provide capable effective state citizen relations; what are the terms for inclusion for
 citizens in city making; extending information that allows for meaningful participation; defining the mechanics for
 partnerships
- Political moment (in SA) notwithstanding that the NUA fails leadership considerations: relationship with
 economic development and socio spatial exclusion/inclusion (NUA needs more radical insertions); NUA posits
 government solution and that the problem is the poor themselves-yet the agency of people that needs
 government systems to better respond (insertion needed)
- Ability to hold decision makers to account for commitments made (for/with the poor) re commitments to cocreate (and the terms for developing skills required)
- NUA does not tackle the causes of the state of the current global economy and its spatial mannestations (causes
 of inequality in land and concentration) and thus inability of socio spatial construct to overcome inheritance
 without reframing economic structure and investment frameworks
- NJA offers lowest common denominator; but highlights concepts that need for research about urban dynamics (evidence based understanding); what are the meanings (to be negotiated) like compact cities (Africa/SA)
- Pointers to SA/Africa (Insertions to be made): Managing network/interface of formal and informal at local and implications for Governance (nature of market operating and how to engage and support/regulate – impacts on fiscal flows setting out responsiveness and predictability – building administrative consistency support principle of subsidiarity (where solutions and funding reconcile): need to address powers and functions
- Right to the City should be centred as a strategic approach in the documentation re combating exclusion Insufficient reflections on BoR (other UN Rights Reports)



- NUA is unclear about LG interface and weak on terms for decentralisation: Ineffective decentralisation means unclear administrative responsibility authority drives cost regarding NUA
- Conditions for finances that drive urban agenda must be institutionalised/protocols set and/or understood within (NDP &) Finance Systems: Need for mechanics for mobilisation –outside- funding with agencies private and public; recognising *performance limitations at local* – given wide scope of financial management for NUA; need to *define redistributive mechanics* like land value capture; land based financing and revenue *and urban land reform*; ability to leverage community resources and funding for the role of NGO/CBO/Civil Society;
- Issues of conflict and SHS not referenced re impact of poverty, (means to reduce) violence and its impact on development processes what mechanics for its resolution
- Youth: Youth bulge and demographic dividend –what role for the youth in urban –movement-processes
- Ability to produce transformative knowledge: Utilising technological advancements to meet service delivery needs; centring research, knowledge managements, data management, and M& E
- Working with communities: use and application of innovative tools at community level roles of mobilising communities (skills, systems, tools, interface with government programmes and tools and financing arrangements; setting conditions for framing partnerships role of NGO's digital and (alternative technology divides) that work for the poor
- What's distinctly missing re SA: sexual orientation; political complexity of diversity; means to mitigating segregation; complexity of difference requires ways to understand causes and means to resource this (and make institutional commitments)
- Implementation plan/modalities/resourcing for upgrading not set
- Building and understanding the Theory of Change (the interventions logic involved)





LEADING CHANGE IN THE CITY: FROM SLUMS TO INTEGRATED, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE HUMAN SETTLEMENTS

Thank You





LEADING CHANGE IN THE CITY: FROM SLUMS TO INTEGRATED, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE HUMAN SETTLEMENTS